

Trends in Dormant OP Use in CA Almonds

Karen Klonsky
Dept. of Ag. and Resource Economics
UC - Davis

Purpose

- 1) To develop a methodology for analytically determining the factors influencing levels of pesticide use
- 2) Apply the methodology to a specific example, dormant OP use in almonds

Adoption Literature

Determinants of adoption are factors that influence the costs and benefits of adopting a technology.

These include:

- ❑ Farmer and farm characteristics
- ❑ Characteristics of the technology itself
- ❑ Economic factors

Farmer and Farm Characteristics

- ❑ Farmer characteristics
 - ❑ Management ability, access to capital, attitude toward risk
 - ❑ Younger and better educated more likely to adopt IPM
- ❑ Farm characteristics
 - ❑ pest pressure, practices on adjacent fields, and crop
 - ❑ Farm size
 - ❑ Yield potential

Characteristics of the Technology

- ❑ IPM less likely to be adopted if it leads to increase in other pests
- ❑ Compatibility with other farm operations
- ❑ IPM may decrease or increase variability of yields and costs
- ❑ Efficacy
- ❑ Available alternatives

Economic factors

- ❑ Low market price may lead to cutting costs (reducing pesticide use)
- ❑ High prices may encourage maximizing production
- ❑ Relative costs of alternative methods
- ❑ Requirements of buyer (quality, delivery date)

Regulations

- ❑ Impact both the characteristics of the technology and the attitude of growers
- ❑ Grower anticipation of losing a pesticide
- ❑ Regulations signal environmental problem
- ❑ Voluntary suspension of use

Methodology

- ❑ Interviews and focus groups
- ❑ Hypothesis formation
- ❑ Identification and collection of available data
- ❑ Test hypotheses with econometric analysis

Reduction in Pesticide Use

- ❑ Number of growers using the pesticide decreases (yes/no)
- ❑ The number of acres treated decreases
- ❑ Decrease in the application rate

Background

- ❑ Dorman OP use in almonds control overwintering pests
- ❑ OPs came under scrutiny in the 80s when it showed up in groundwater
- ❑ Almonds accounted for 10 – 33 percent of dormant OP use from 1992 - 2000

Hypotheses

- ❑ Weather
- ❑ Economic
- ❑ Physical
- ❑ Education
- ❑ Risk

Weather

- ❑ Difficult to get in and spray in wet winters
- ❑ Dormant sprays following a wet year should increase
- ❑ Skipped dormant may mean cleanup PTB spray in spring
- ❑ Timing of BT and fungicide should be right before rain

Economics

- ❑ Reducing costs the number one reason given for skipping sprays
- ❑ Bonuses for reject levels – the lower the reject level required the more likely to spray
- ❑ Japanese market requires high quality
- ❑ Pyrethroids cheaper than OPs per acre

Physical

- ❑ Northern CA tends to have higher quality and lower yields
- ❑ Kern County has more in season pests
- ❑ Kern County larger growers
- ❑ Nonpareil, the main almond variety in CA is soft shelled and susceptible to pests
- ❑ Hard shells have lower value

Risk

- ❑ Bt perceived to be too risky by some, control is too short and not effective enough
- ❑ Managers of large farms may want to keep rejects down to keep their jobs
- ❑ Availability of OPs over time

Education

- ❑ BIOS program, public – private partnership to demonstrate alternatives to OP use and provide information to growers.

Weather Variables

- Two time periods:
1/15-2/15 and 11/1 – 3/20
- Inches of rain
- Days of rain
- Average temperature
- Minimum temperature
- Cooling hours under 30 degrees
- El Nino year

Economic Variables

- Lagged price – almond price from the previous year
- Lagged rejects – rejects from the previous year
- OPprice – material cost per acre
- Pyrethroid price – material cost per acre
- Bt price – Bt price per acre
- Japan exports – pounds of exports to Japan
- Lagged rejects – rejects from the previous year
- Carry in – almond carry in from the previous yr.

Geographic Variables

- ❑ South – Fresno and Tulare
- ❑ Central – San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Yolo, Madera, and Merced
- ❑ North – Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Sutter, and Tehema
- ❑ Kern – Kern County

BIOS Variables

- ❑ BIOS -
 - ❑ Merced 1993 – 1997
 - ❑ Stanislaus 1994 – 1998
 - ❑ San Joaquin 1995 – 1999
 - ❑ Colusa 1995 – 1999
- ❑ BIOSbeg – for all years from the beginning of BIOS

Probability of Any OP Use - Probit

- ❑ OP use decreasing over time
- ❑ Kern and South region increased OP use
- ❑ Central region decreased use relative to North baseline
- ❑ Previous year price increased OP use
- ❑ Beginning inventory increased OP use
- ❑ Previous year's rejects increased OP use
- ❑ Japanese exports increased OP use

Probability of Any OP Use - Probit

- ❑ Price of OP and pyrethroids did not impact use
- ❑ Price of Bt impacted use slightly
- ❑ More inches of rain between Jan 15 and Feb 15 increased use
- ❑ Weather variables highly correlated
- ❑ BIOS and BIOSbeg decrease OP use

OP Use in Acres - Tobit

- ❑ Region was the most important determinant
- ❑ Central region applied OPs to fewer of their acres, Kern applied more compared to the North
- ❑ Lagged price not significant
- ❑ Pesticide prices not significant
- ❑ BIOS not significant
- ❑ Carryin had a positive affect on acres (not expected)

OP Application Rate - Tobit

- ❑ Average application rate was 1.82 pounds per acre, less than half the label rate of 4 pounds
- ❑ We excluded observations of .25 pounds and more than 30 pounds per acre, 172 of the 13,577 observations

OP Application Rate - Tobit

- ❑ Rate increased over time
- ❑ South and Kern regions used higher rate
- ❑ Central same as North
- ❑ Lagged price positive effect on rate
- ❑ Carry in had a positive effect (not expected)
- ❑ Lagged percent rejects positive effect
- ❑ Exports and Japanese exports positive effect
- ❑ Pyrethroid price positive, other pesticide prices insignificant

Lessons for Using Trend Analysis

- ❑ Price data through mill tax database provides a consistent time series
 - ❑ Price information with application rate recommendations used to obtain a per acre cost of treatment.
 - ❑ These variables did not perform well but might in other situations.
- ❑ Weather data is important but highly correlated
- ❑ Inventories and quality measures are important and may be more difficult to obtain for other crops
- ❑ Use trends should be evaluated several ways, the number of users, the percentage of their acreage treated, and application rates.